

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION		
2	COMMISSIONERS	Arizona Corporation Commission	
3 4	BOB STUMP - Chairman GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS	DOCKETED APR 1/8 2014	
5	BOB BURNS SUSAN BITTER SMITH	DOCKETED 37	
7 8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION CONTERRA ULTRA BROADBAND, LLC CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITYTO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES.	DECISION NO. 74454	
10	DATE OF HEARING:	January 29, 2014	
11	PLACE OF HEARING:	Phoenix, AZ	
12	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:	Yvette B. Kinsey	
13 14	APPEARANCES:	Mr. Kelley Boan, Regulatory & Special Projects Manager, and Ms. Angela Lee, Vice President, Corporate Counsel, CONTERRA BROADBAND SERVICES, Applicant; and	
15 16		Ms. Maureen Scott, Staff Attorney, Legal Division, on behalf of the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission.	
17	BY THE COMMISSION:		
18	On December 27, 2012, Conterra Ultra Broadband, LLC ("Conterra" or the "Company") filed		
19	with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for approval of a		
20	Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N") to provide Cellular Backhaul Transport (Carrier		
21	Grade Ethernet or TDM Connectivity and Transport) and Access Networks and Broadband Networks		
22	(Carrier Grade Ethernet and Voice Connectivity Transport) telecommunication services within the		
23	State of Arizona. Conterra's application also requests a determination that its proposed services are		
24	competitive in Arizona.		
25	On May 6, 2013, Conterra filed a response to the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff"		
26	First Set of Data Requests.		
27			

¹ Conterra's Access Networks and Broadband Networks services are considered private line services in Arizona and are regulated by the Commission.

On June 5, 2013, Conterra filed a response to Staff's Second Set of Data Requests.

On July 30, 2013, Conterra filed a response to Staff's Third Set of Data Requests.

On October 11, 2013, Staff filed a Staff Report recommending approval of Conterra's application, subject to certain conditions.

On November 18, 2013, by Procedural Order, a hearing was scheduled to begin January 29, 2014, and other procedural deadlines were established.

On December 31, 2013, Conterra filed a Notice of Filing Affidavit of Publication stating that public notice of the application and hearing date had been published in the *Arizona Republic*, a newspaper of general circulation in the State of Arizona.

On January 14, 2014, Conterra filed a request to allow Mr. Kelley Boan to represent Conterra at the hearing scheduled for January 29, 2014.

On January 23, 2014, a telephonic procedural conference was initiated by the Hearing Division to address the Company's request to allow Mr. Boan to represent Conterra at the hearing. Conterra's request was granted at the procedural conference.

On January 29, 2014, a full public hearing was held as scheduled before a duly authorized Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") of the Commission. Mr. Kelly Boan appeared on behalf of Conterra and presented testimony. Staff appeared through counsel and presented testimony and evidence. No members of the public appeared to provide public comment. At the conclusion of the hearing, the matter was taken under advisement pending submission of a Recommended Opinion and Order to the Commission.

* * * * * * * * * *

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Conterra is a foreign limited liability company organized under the laws of South Carolina and authorized to transact business in Arizona.²

² Exhibit S-2 at Attachment A.

Conterra's principal offices are located in Charlotte, North Carolina.³ 1 2. 2 3. Conterra was established in 2001 and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Conterra Ultra 3 Broadband Holdings, Inc.4 4 4. On December 27, 2012, Conterra filed an application with the Commission requesting 5 authority for a CC&N to provide intrastate telecommunications services in Arizona. 6 5. Notice of Conterra's application was given in accordance with the law. 7 6. Staff recommends approval of the Conterra's application for a CC&N to provide intrastate telecommunications services subject to the following conditions: 9 (a) Conterra comply with all Commission Rules, Orders and other requirements 10 relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications services; 11 Conterra abide by the quality of service standards that were approved by the (b) Commission for Owest in Docket No. T-01051B-93-0183; 12 Conterra be required to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to (c) 13 Conterra's name, address or telephone number; 14 (d) Conterra cooperate with Commission investigations including, but not limited to customer complaints; 15 (e) The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services. In general, rates 16 for all competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation. Staff obtained information from the Company indicating that the net book 17 value of its Arizona assets at the end of the first twelve months of operations is expected to be \$583,906. Staff has reviewed the rates to be charged by 18 Conterra and believes they are just and reasonable as they are comparable to other wholesale transport providers offering service in Arizona and comparable 19 to the rates Conterra charges in other jurisdictions. The rate to be ultimately charged by the Company will be heavily influenced by the market. Therefore, 20 while Staff considered the fair value rate base information submitted by the Company, the fair value information provided was not given substantial weight 21 in this analysis; and 22 The Commission authorize Conterra to discount its rates and service charges to (f) the marginal cost of providing the services. 23 24 25 26 27 ³ Exhibit S-2 at Attachment A.

Affidavit of Publication of Notice of Application and Hearing Date docketed December 31, 2013.

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a.

7.

8. The Company's top seven officers possess a combined total of more than 100 years experience in the telecommunications industry.⁶

advances, deposits and/or prepayments from its customers.

Conterra fails to do so, that Conterra's CC&N be null and void after due process.

Staff further recommends that Conterra comply with the following items and if

Conterra shall docket conforming tariffs pages for each service within its

CC&N within 365 days from the date of an Order in this matter or 30 days

prior to providing service, whichever comes first. The tariffs submitted shall coincide with the Application and state that the Company does not collect

- 9. Conterra's witness stated that the Company is currently operating in 28 states offering broadband transport or Ethernet services.⁷ The witness stated that Conterra currently provides service in Arizona to: the Native Vocational District on the Navajo Nation; Humboldt Unified School District in Prescott Valley; Amphitheater Schools in Tucson, Arizona; and the Nogales Unified School District.⁸
- 10. Conterra currently provides its private broadband telecommunications services within 1,700 schools nationwide and to health care providers under the E-Rate program. The Company also provides commercial backhaul for cellular providers and TeleMedicine programs.
- 11. Staff believes that Conterra has the technical capabilities to provide its proposed services in Arizona.

Financial Capabilities

12. Conterra provided unaudited consolidated financial statements for its parent company, Conterra Ultra Broadband Holdings, Inc. for the year ending December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011. For the year ending December 31, 2012, Conterra's parent company reported Total Assets of \$84,993,594; Total Equity of \$32,497,300; and a Net Income of negative \$1,208,334. For the year

¹¹ Tr. at 9.

DECISION NO. 74454

⁶ Response to Staff Data Request filed May 6, 2013.

⁷ Tr. at 7.

⁸ According to the testimony at the hearing, service to the Nogales Unified School District will begin as soon as the fiber line is completed. Tr. at 7.

⁹ Tr. at 8.

¹⁰The E-Rate program is administered by the Universal Service Administrative Company, an independent, not-for-profit corporation, created to collect universal service contributions from telecommunications carriers to give communities across the country access to affordable telecommunications services.

¹² Exhibit S-2 at 7.

27 Exhibit S-2, at Attachment B.

¹⁴ Exhibit S-2, at 3. ¹⁵ Exhibit S-1, at 3.

Exhibit S-1, at 3.

16 Exhibit S-2 at 7.

ending December 31, 2011, Conterra's parent company reported Total Assets of \$77,120,607; Total Equity of \$32,924,300; and a Net Income of negative \$1,007,380.

- 13. Conterra's application states it will rely on the financial resources of its parent company to provide its proposed services in Arizona.¹²
- 14. Conterra's proposed tariffs state that it will not require or collect deposits from its customers. Conterra's proposed tariffs state that it may collect advance payments of one month's estimated charges from customers, if necessary.

Rates and Charges

- 15. Staff believes that Conterra's rates will be heavily influenced by the market. Staff states that Conterra will have to compete with other incumbent local exchange carriers ("ILECs"), and various competitive local exchange ("CLECs"), and interexchange carriers ("IXCs") in Arizona in order to gain new customers. Staff states that Conterra's potential customers are all large, sophisticated wireline and wireless carriers that can negotiate contract rates on an individual case basis. Staff reviewed Conterra's proposed tariff pages and compared them with other carriers in Arizona and with the rates charged by Conterra in other states/jurisdictions.
- 16. Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1109, the rates charged for each service Conterra proposes to provide may not be less than the Company's total service long-run incremental cost of providing that service.
- 17. Conterra projects that for the first twelve months of operation in Arizona, it will have total revenues of \$1,500,000 and a net book value of \$583,906.¹⁶
- 18. Staff states that while it considered the fair value rate base information submitted by the Company, Staff did not accord that information substantial weight in its analysis.
- 19. Based on the above factors, Staff believes that Conterra's proposed rates are just and reasonable.

Competitive Analysis

- 20. Conterra's application requests that its proposed private line services¹⁷ in Arizona be classified as competitive. Staff believes Conterra's proposed services should be classified as competitive because Conterra will have to compete with IXCs, ILECs, and CLECs to gain a share of the private line market and that because IXCs, ILECs, and CLECs hold a substantial share of the private line market, Conterra will not be able to exert any market power.
- 21. Based on the above factors, Staff concludes that Conterra's proposed services should be classified as competitive.

Complaint Information

- 22. Conterra's application states that none of the Company's officers, directors, partners, nor managers have been or are currently involved in any formal or informal complaint proceeding before any state or federal regulatory agency, commission, administrative or law enforcement agency.¹⁸
- 23. Conterra states that none of the Company's officers, directors, partners or managers have been involved in any civil or criminal investigations, or had judgments entered in any civil matter, or by any administrative or regulatory agency, or been convicted of any criminal acts within the last ten (10) years.
- 24. Conterra disclosed in its application that in 2008 the Company had been involved in a compliance investigation conducted by the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC").¹⁹ The investigation stemmed from Conterra's failure to pay an annual regulatory assessment fee.²⁰ Conterra states it paid all outstanding monies owed and Staff confirmed that the FPSC investigation was completed and closed on September 15, 2008.²¹

¹⁷ Staff describes private line services as direct circuit or channel specifically dedicated to the use of an end user organization for the purpose of directly connecting two or more sites in a multi-site enterprise.

¹⁸ Exhibit S-2 at 3.

¹⁹ Id. ²⁰ Id.

²¹ Exhibit S-1 at 4.

:			
1	25.	The Commission's Corporations Section indicates that Conterra is in good standing. ²²	
2	No complaints had been filed against the Company in Arizona from January 1, 2010 to July 30,		
3	2013. ²³		
4	26.	We find Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.	
5		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
6	1.	Conterra is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the	
7	Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.		
8	2.	The Commission has jurisdiction over Conterra and the subject matter of the	
9	application.		
10	3.	Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.	
11	4.	A.R.S. § 40-282 allows a telecommunications company to file an application for a	
12	CC&N to provide competitive telecommunication services.		
13	5.	Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution, as well as the Arizona Revised	
14	Statutes, it is in the public interest for Conterra to provide the telecommunications services as se		
15	forth in its ap	oplication.	
16	6.	Conterra is a fit and proper entity to receive a CC&N authorizing it to provide intrastate	
17	telecommunications services in Arizona, subject to Staff's recommendations as set forth herein.		
18	7.	Conterra's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for	
19	the competitive services Conterra proposes to provide to Arizona customers.		
20	8.	Pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution as well as the Competitive Rules, it	
21	is just and reasonable and in the public interest for Conterra to establish rates and charges that are no		
22	less than Conterra's total service long-run incremental costs of providing the competitive services		
23	approved herein.		
24	9.	Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.	
25			

²³ Exhibit S-1 at 3.

26

27

28

DECISION NO. 74454

Exhibit S-2 at Attachment A.

1 **ORDER** 2 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of Conterra Ultra Broadband, LLC for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide Cellular Backhaul Transport and Access 3 Networks and Broad Networks telecommunication services in Arizona, is hereby approved, subject to 4 5 Staff's recommendations as more fully described in Findings of Fact Nos. 6 and 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Conterra Ultra Broadband, LLC fails to comply with the 6 Staff recommendations described in Findings Fact No. 7, the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity granted herein shall be considered null and void after due process. 8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately. 9 10 BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION. 11 12 COMMISSIONER CHAIRMAN 13 14 COMMISSIO 15 16 WITNESS WHEREOF, I, JODI JERICH, Executive 17 Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the 18 Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, day of 19 20 21 22 23 DISSENT 24 25 DISSENT YK:ru 26

2014.

27

I				
1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	CONTERRA ULTRA BROADBAND, LLC		
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-20869A-12-0499		
3	Thomas Bardo NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLC 101 Constitution Avenue, NW, Suite 900			
	Washington, DC 20001			
Angela Lee Vice President, Corporate Counsel & Secretary		tary		
6 7	2101 Rexford Road, Suite 200E			
8	James Aiward, Chief Counsel			
9	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION West Westington Street	ON		
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007			
11	Steven M. Olea, Director Utilities Division			
12	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION			
13	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007			
14		·		
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				